

<b>Consultation:</b>	<b>0871 Services</b>
<b>FAO:</b>	Mr Nathan Marshall
<b>Name of respondent:</b>	Mr Austin Leniston
<b>Representing (self or organisation/s):</b>	Self

<b>Q1: Do you agree with our proposal to create a Statement of Application for the 0871 number range? If not, please provide your reasons and alternative suggestions.</b>
Yes and no - but see below.
<b>Q2: Do you agree that the current application of Section 1 of the Code is appropriate to apply to the 0871 number range? If not, please give your reasons.</b>
Yes and no - but see below.
<b>Q3: Do you agree that this is a fair and proportionate application of the network operators' due diligence requirements to the 0871 number range? If not, please give your reasons. ICSTIS would welcome further information regarding quantification of costs.</b>
Yes and no - but see below.
<b>Q4: Do you have any further information and evidence regarding usual payment times? Additionally it would be helpful to have responses that indicate to what extent Service providers rely on immediate payments from network operators to cover their operational costs.</b>
-
<b>Q5: Do you agree that this is a fair and proportionate application of a delayed payment mechanism to the 0871 number range? If not, please provide your reasons.</b>
Yes
<b>Q6: Do you agree that the current application of Section 3 of the Code is appropriate to apply to the 0871 number range? If not, please provide your reasons.</b>
Yes except that it is mentioned that within section 3 of the code that service providers also hold non-premium rate UK customer service numbers but that you currently think 087x numbers are ok. However, 0871 is to be a PREMIUM rate number hence why they will be regulated by ICSTIS. Therefore, it defeats the point of the service provider not holding a non-premium rate number but yet allows them to hold an 0871 contact number. Service providers should be required to hold a geographical or 0870 number and NOT 0871 numbers.
<b>Q7: Do you agree that the current application of Section 4 of the Code is appropriate to apply to the 0871 number range? If not, please provide your reasons.</b>
See other answers herein
<b>Q8: Do you agree that it is fair and proportionate to apply ICSTIS' current application of the prior permission regime under Section 5.1 of the Code to the 0871 number range? If not, please provide your reasons.</b>
Yes

**Q9: Do you agree that Option D is a fair and proportionate application of the undue delay requirements in paragraph 5.4.2 of the Code to the 0871 number range? If not, please provide your reasons and alternative preferred option. Additionally, please provide details of any other options you feel may be appropriate for ICSTIS to consider.**

No. You state that you have received significant complaints concerning 0871 numbers, as has Ofcom, and at this time Ofcom is currently investigating scams on 0870 numbers. Once 0870 loses its revenue share, most companies/organisations are expected to migrate to 0871 and this means scam-artists will also do this. The solution is not a solution at all.

Thus you are doing nothing in actual fact about call queuing, which is not at all in the consumer interest but only in the interest of companies/organisations using these numbers.

It has been stated by ICSTIS that many companies/organisations will use 0871 not for the revenue share (can be as much as 6p/min) but for the advanced network features. If this were true then companies/organisations would use other lower-rate non-geographical numbers like 0845, which offer the same advanced network features except in most cases revenue sharing isn't passed to the company instead being retained by the service provider to pay for the advanced network services. Therefore, the primary interest in using 0871 is for the revenue share, in addition to the advanced network features available. However, the difference is that many consumers currently aren't aware that 0871 is *premium* rate.....which is exactly why many companies/organisations have already migrated to 0871.

Many companies/organisations would not dare move to 09x (not even at 15p/min) because the caller is very aware of the penalty/premium rate involved, and that this would cause many complaints. So, instead, they have opted for 0871 where revenue still exists but without consumer awareness of the attendant premium rate charges (albeit lower amounts). According to your proposal, they will be able to gain revenue even whilst consumers are held in a queue. It's possible to be held in a queue for over 20minutes when ringing some companies on their 0870 now. This equates to the company getting over £1 for every call they get that lasts 20minutes just being in a queue. If a company gets hundreds or even thousands of calls a day then this adds up over the month and year. Therefore there can be an incentive to keep callers waiting.

I believe with the extra revenue possible from 0871 numbers that this is used to ensure that us consumers aren't charged for being kept on hold for undue delays and therefore consumers aren't charged for being in a long queue. I don't believe that with the extra revenue possible from 0871 numbers that this would add any extra financial burden on to companies/organisations operating 0871 numbers. If this is still not possible then how about an announcement when first rung that states where you are in the queue and how long it's estimated you'll be before being answered. This would allow us consumers to know in advance that they could be a long time and can choose to ring back later, etc. Again, this could all be paid for out of the revenue from the call they receive which ICSTIS believes is really only used for network features and not to deliberately gain revenue from the call.

This also undermines the FREE MINUTES given in mobile contracts, causing providers to start charging for numbers that were previously free. So it has great general impact on customer charges and muddies up the mobile phone market's charging system.

**Q10: Do you agree that this is a fair and proportionate application of the pricing information requirements under Section 5.7 of the Code to the 0871 number range? If not, please provide your reasons.**

The point is that consumers will not be aware that 0871 is a premium rate number. Premium rates should be very clear, like the 09x where consumers know they are being charged premium rates. Companies/organisations should be obliged to say when they answer the call that "calls will cost 10p/min from a BT landline (other providers may charge more)." This really has hardly any financial impact on the companies/organisations using these numbers in fact the extra 10 seconds or so it takes to say this will cost us consumers.....but at least consumers would be fully aware that they are paying at least 10p/min.

**Q11: Do you agree that it is appropriate to allow a three-month implementation period, as outlined above?**

Yes. Well 6 months would be better

**Q12: Do you agree that this is a fair and proportionate application of ICSTIS' scope of regulation in respect to content of services provided on the 0871 number range? If not, please provide your reasons.**

Yes, but subject to what I have said herein.

**Q13: Do you agree that the current application of Section 6 of the Code is appropriate to apply to the 0871 number range? If not, please provide your reasons.**

Yes, but subject to what I have said herein.

**Q14: Do you agree that the current application of Section 7 of the Code is appropriate to apply to the 0871 number range? If not, please provide your reasons.**

Yes, but subject to what I have said herein.

**Q15: Do you agree that the current application of Sections 8 to 11 of the Code is appropriate to apply to the 0871 number range? If not, please provide your reasons.**

Yes, but subject to what I have said herein.

**Q16: Do you agree that this is a fair and proportionate application of the funding model in Annex 1 of the Code to apply to the 0871 number range? If not, please provide your reasons.**

Yes, but subject to what I have said herein.

**Q17: Do you agree that this is a fair and proportionate method of collection of the funding levy to apply to the 0871 number range? If not, please provide your reasons.**

Yes, but subject to what I have said herein.

**Q18: Do you agree that a minimum payment amount from each network operator should be £500 per annum? If not, please provide your reasons.**

No. If the business is really valid the cost is unnecessary. I just think they should be restricted to 09 numbers.

**Q19: Do you agree that it is not appropriate for ICSTIS to create and promote a separate brand for regulation of the 0871 number range? If not, please provide your reasons.**

Seems a bit silly.....there is already the framework for regulation of the 090 numbers. This is just a duplication as stated in above answers.

If you insist, at least ICSTIS should not try and hide the fact that 0871 is a premium rate number and that Communication Providers (CPs)/Service Providers do not mis-advertise the 0871 number range as 'national rate' which some CPs currently do this.

**Q20: Is there any other way in which ICSTIS' regulatory framework should be amended or otherwise so as to regulate the 0871 number range in a way that is fair and proportionate?**

To discourage scams with missed phone calls/silent calls, ICSTIS should ensure that companies/organisations using an 0871 does not display via CLI (Caller Display Identity) their 0871 number. Instead, companies/organisations should use freephone or lower-cost number ranges.

This would also save Ofcom having to investigate missed calls/silent calls (whether deliberate or not) as this is potentially in contravention of current Ofcom rules.

Currently, Ofcom rules state that companies/organisations making phone calls (sales, etc) should not display any number costing more than the rate of an 0845 on CLI.

**Q21: Do you agree that this is an appropriate wording for a Statement of Application based on ICSTIS' proposals? If not, please provide your reasons and alternative wording.**

-